

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,124	12/11/2003	Marc Bodet	056982/00037	7890	
31013 7590 642329999 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LE, THANH TAM T		
NEW YORK,	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2839		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/23/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

klpatent@kramerlevin.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/733,124	BODET ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment or application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	s the
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension is have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (set for thin (b) above; if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely f may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee 2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	e of
2. If the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
 The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 	οr
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s) 	
7. \[\int \sigma \text{for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \square \text{will not be entered, or b) \int \text{will be entered and an explanation or how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. \[\text{The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \text{Claim(s) allowed: \text{nore.} \text{Claim(s) objected to: \text{pone.} \text{Dote ded to: \text{pone.} \text{Dote ded to: \text{pone.} \text{pone.} \text{Claim(s) rejected: \text{1 \text{pond 2-16.} \text{Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \text{pone.} \text{Pone.} \end{allowset} \]	of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary in the provided reasons of the provided for a provided reasons.	

was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: .

/Thanh-Tam T. Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2839 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 3/20/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.